



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/071,766	02/07/2002	Huei-Mei Chen	PA-0043 US	5282
27904	7590 04/22/2004		EXAMINER	
INCYTE CORPORATION			SWITZER, JULIET CAROLINE	
3160 PORTER DRIVE PALO ALTO, CA 94304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1634	·
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/071,766	CHEN, HUEI-MEI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Consider SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. I, a reply within the statutory minimum of this period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	ider <i>Ex parte</i> Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the control of the c		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority docu 	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B		received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.

Attachment(s)

1)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/071,766

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-2, drawn to a collection of nucleic acids, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - II. Claims 3-8, drawn to methods of detection using the an, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - III. Claims 9-12, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid, vectors, host cells, and methods of expression, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, for example.
 - IV. Claim 13, drawn to an isolated polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 300, for example.
 - V. Claims 14-15 and 17, drawn to methods of screening for ligands using an isolated polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - VI. Claims 16 and 18-20, drawn to an antibody and methods of detection, classified in class 530, subclass 387.1, for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

Art Unit: 1634

claimed because the combination could be patentable based on a feature of the entire grouping of nucleic acids, such as a possible synergistic effect. The subcombination has separate utility such as expression of the encoded polypeptide.

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the products of invention I can be used in a variety of methods such as to express the polypeptides encoded by the recited sequences or in aptamer methodologies or for nucleic acid purification assays.
- 4. The nucleic acids of inventions I and II are patentably distinct from the polypepetides and antibodies of inventions IV and VI, and the polypeptides and antibodies are distinct one from another because they are drawn to different products having different structures and functions. The combination of nucleic acids of Group I is comprised of 144 different nucleic acid sequences and the individual nucleic acid sequences of group III are all composed of nucleotides linked in phospodiester bonds and arranged in space as a double helix. The polypeptide of Group IV is composed of amino acids linked in peptide bonds and arranged spatially in a number of different tertiary structures including alpha helices, beta-pleated sheets, and hydrophobic loops (transmembrane domain). The antibody of Group VI is also composed of amino acids linked in peptide bonds and arranged spatially in a very specific tertiary structure that allows that antibody to specifically bind to particular regions, i.e. epitopes, of the encoded polypeptide. Further, antibodies are glycosylated and their tertiary structure is unique, where four subunits (2

Art Unit: 1634

Application/Control Number: 10/071,766

light chains and 2 heavy chains) associated via disulfide bonds into a Y-shaped symmetric dimer. Furthermore, the products of these groups can be used in materially different processes, for example, the DNA of Groups I and III can be used in hybridization assays, the antibody of Group VI can be used in immunoassay, the polypeptide of Group IV can be used to make fusion protein with an enzymatic function. Consequently, the reagents, reaction conditions, and reaction parameters required to make or use each invention are different.

- Inventions IV and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be 5. shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the proteins of invention IV can be used in treatment methods or to raise antibodies.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a 6. separate status in the art as demonstrated by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter and because inventions I-VI require different searches that are not coextensive, examination of these claims would pose a serious burden on the examiner and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where 7. applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the

Application/Control Number: 10/071,766

Art Unit: 1634

Page 5

patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Further Restriction to Groups III-VI

Application/Control Number: 10/071,766

Art Unit: 1634

Each group detailed above reads on a number of patentably distinct groups, wherein each of the distinct groups is drawn to a nucleic acid, polypeptide, antibody or method of using a separate sequence. Applicants must further a <u>single</u> sequence for examination with whichever claim set is elected if one of claims sets III-VI are elected.

Prior to allowance, non-elected subject matter will be required to be deleted from any allowable claims.

Applicant is advised that examination will be restricted to only the elected SEQ ID NO. and should not to be construed as a species election.

With regard to the restriction between individual sequences, each sequence is patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, i.e. these sequences are unrelated because they do not share a common structure. A reference against one would not anticipate or obviate another, and thus for each particular sequence a separate search of the patent and non-patent literature is required. These separate searches would impose undue burden on the examiner.

A telephone restriction requirement was not made in this application due to an explicit request by Incyte and their policy of not making elections in response to telephonic restriction requirements.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 1634

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Juliet C Switzer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0753. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 9:00 AM until 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached by calling (571) 272-0782.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-0507.

Juliet C Switzer

Art Unit 1634

April 19, 2004